

Test education for University and University of Applied Science, an update

Jos van Rooyen



Introduction

- Deployed at Bartosz ICT BV
- •>20 years in testing
- •Co-author TestGrip ,TestFrame, Project de Baas, Quality Supervision, Textbook testing for universities of applied science (in press)
- Test expert online magazine Computable
- •Publication areas; Testproces Improvement, BI-testing, Testautomation, test education, quality supervision
- Review committee Valid2014
- Review committee Eurostar 2014
- Founder of the "Houten groep"
- Member of several working parties Dutch Testing Society:
 - Model Based Testen
 - Test education for University and University of Applied Science



Interpretation of the problem

There are several reasons to start working on this topic;

- Company's depends on IT
- Software glitches still increases
- The complexity of the IT is increasing. Test must increase the quality level to stay in line
- New development methods like Agile requires other skills
- Test must become more professional

bartosz





Interpretation of the problem

The working party has defined 4 goals:

- Inventory of the current situation in the Netherlands
- Is there a need for a new study?
- Defining a curriculum for the new study
- Implementation of the new study?



The status in 2013

The status was:

- First part of the inventory finished
- Curriculum in development stage
- Validation already started
- Pr & Marketing, Implementation must be started



Inventory current situation test education in the Netherlands

Respondents:

Type of university	Total number	Number of respondents
Universities	11	2
Universities of applied sciences	29	8

Current situation:

Subject	Yea	Year			Type of University		
	1	2	3	4			
Introduction to testing	X				UAS		
Testing techniques	X				UAS / U		
Test organization				Х	UAS		
Test phases		Х			UAS		
Review of requirements		X			UAS		
Test execution		Х			UAS		
Defect management		Х			UAS		
Model based testen				Х	UAS / U		
Testing & development methods				Х	UAS		
Testmethods				Х	UAS		
Testtypes					UAS		
Development testplan					UAS		
Testtools					U		

UAS = University of Applied Science

U = University

Conclusions:

- No. of respondents around 20%
- Mixed picture
- General & common items are educated based on the response



Status 2014 curriculum

Curriculum based on generic description of the council of the University of Applied Science:

Structure of the curriculum is:

- Based on architecture layers of the E-competence framework
- Following the System Development Life Cycle (=SDLC)
- Spread over 3 maturity levels
- Supported by literature list

"Test education for University and University of Applied Science"

					,				
					User interaction				
	0	Generic modu	ile		Analysis	Advise	Design	Development	System Management
					m Inspection final product in	Presentation of the result	Test plan: Complex system	Perform test cases using usability lab	. Selection of system manage
	١	What is testin	g?		conjunction with other production the basis of acceptance criteria (using W3C infrastruct	s analysis + advice how further	multiple aspects based on the context and/or the chain, Risk based (lateral thinking)	Defect management Metrics Result analysis	tooling
	Why i	s testing imp	ortant?		and matter knowledge) The psychology of learning		Select the required tooling		
	When	can there be	tested?						
	F	Range of testi	ng						
	Ri	isk based test	ing		Walkthrough/formal (technical review based on usability	Presentation chosen approach	Test plan: simple system	Perform complex test cases in conjunction with other products	Root cause analysis Change management
	Apply	ying Quality n	nodels		standard of end product using acceptance criteria		Specify complex test cases in conjunction with other products Apply standard techniques	(heuristic evaluation) Collecting metrics Perform test cases using tooling	Configuratie & version management
	Phase	s in the test p	orocess				Context related Definition required metrics Drafting usability checklist	Periorii teat casea danig tooling	
	Valid	ation & Verif	ication				Drafting usability checklist		
	Te	est organization	ons						
	Prope	rties of a goo	d tester		" Informal review on intermedi	es Communication to end	Specify test cases: apply standard	Perform simple test cases	Manage test ware
		Roles in test			a informal review on intermedi	user user	techniques Peer review test cases	renorm simple test cases	wanage test ware
	Test & dev	elopment me					Paper prototyping		
		& sourcing m							
		a sourcing in					1	-	·
Business Processes					Software				
Analysis	Advise	Design	Development	System Management	Analysis	Advise	Design	Development	System Management
Inspection final products multiple	Advise possible	Definition test plan business	Perform testcases multiple	Management of knowledge	Inspection requirements / acceptance criteria complex	Advise test approach	Inspection test basis Design complex test cases	Perform test cases: chain focused Multiple test types	Maintenance testing compl systems Definition of metrics
ousiness processes	improvements relevant business processes	processes (latheral thinking) Quality supervision	business processes. Acceptance procedure	Selection of tooling Fall back scenario	acceptance criteria complex system Definition master testplan		Design complex test cases multiple chains multiple test types	Acceptance procedure	Definition of metrics
Applying standards such as ISO 15010	business processes	Proces simulaton multiple	Acceptance procedure Quality supervision	Fall back scenario	risk based(latheral thinking) integral teststrategy Perform product risk analysis		Quality supervision	Metrics Quality supervision	
		business processes Design test cases:			Perform product risk analysis		Selecting required testtooling	Definition tool strategy	
		Model based Definition metrics							
		Definition metrics			Walkthrough / formal (techn	al) Presentation of test results to stakeholders involved	ults Design complex testcases: required test levels	Perform complex test cases : Applying tooling:	Change management Configuration Management
Review single business process:	Moderation of business	Testplan:	Perform complex test cases single		Definition detailed testplan:	To stand to the to	applying complex test	excel/stubs/drivers /r&pb	Release management Root cause analysis
walkthrough / formal (technical) review	process simulation	multiple levels Design test cases:	business process Execution test cases by hand of	Change management Root cause analysis	test level test type		by hand of test specification tools	Modelling tools	,
		Complex test cases Multiple business processes	tooling Collection of metrics	Security management	स्व Required test environment a	d	Applying of testtooling & testautomation		
		Wattiple basiness processes	Conection of metrics						
Perform informal review single		Structured based definition of	Perform simple test cases single	Testing of emergency procedures					
business process.		simple test cases single business process	business process	Manage test ware	Design simple test cases. Informal review requirement		Design simple test cases: applying standard techniques	Evaluate data quality Perform test cases	Maintenance testing simple system
					(based on standards as ISO 25	10	relevant to selected test leve	ls Parioni test cases	Manage testware
					343				
nfrastructure					Hardware interfacing				
Analysis	Advise	Design	Development	System Management	Analysis	Advise	Design	Development	System Management
					Inspection final product in	Chosen test approach &	Design test cases:	Simulation of processors/chips	Manage test environment
Inspection final product complex network	Recommend legal consequences chosen	Design test cases diverse IT landscape	Perform test cases for complex large-scale network (possibly	Selection of system management tooling	conjunction with the	test results	model based	Perform acceptance procedure	Risk assessment updates in
Determining acceptance criteria	infrastructure	Toolselection & -installation	distributed)	Risk analysis infrastructure	Drafting test plan multiple	irus	State transition Simulators		existing test environment landscape (lateral thinking
infrastructure (security, non- functionals)		Definition test plan, test strategy & impact analysis	Applying test tooling Metrics	changes (lateral thinking) Definition back up policy	interfacing Collecting benchmark data as	t/or	Definition metrics Establish end-to-end test plan		Simulators
Perform risk analysis			Development of stubs & drivers	Licensing policy	market research	,,	Proces simulation embedded		
							system multiple interfacing		
Validate proposed infrastructure		Definition of needed metrics	Perform test cases for a simple	Configuration management	Preparing test plan simple	Presentation results	Designing test harness	Setting up and developing test	Root cause analysis
for complex network infrastructure by using	the infrastructure based on	Drafting test cases for a complex infrastructure	infrastructure Setup test environment	Change management Root cause analysis	interfacing	performed tests	Test plan:	automation	Noor cause analysis
acceptance criteria		Design set up test environment +	- Land Commonwell	Testing of complex multiple infra	Walkthrough / formal (techn review	al)	various levels Design tests: complex test case	Perform complex test cases Collecting metrics (coverage	
Calculation/objectives by measuring infrastructure for using		configuration management Selection of test tooling		releases	99		simple interfacing	techniques)	
standards such as ISO 25010		ocaccion or test tooling					Selection of tooling		
(Product quality)									
Simulation of a safety plan		Compose test cases for a simple	Organization of test	Manage test ware	Drafting global test specificat	ons	Define simple structured test	Perform simple test cases	Testing of patches in
Informal review specifications simple network		infrastructure Collecting and applying	environments Setup test data	Testing simple infra patches	Being able for an embedded system to perform an inform		cases single embedded system	Setup emulators	maintenance Manage test ware
-		benchmark data			review				
					2				
1	1	1	1						1

Author: Jos van Rooyen, feedback to: jos.van.rooyen@bartosz.nl



The curriculum

Question to the audience:

What is missing?



Status 2014 Implementation

Discussion about the education:

- Complete new education regarding testing
- Minor
- Specialisation
- Combine it with current curriculum
- Education is not necessary

Marketing is just started:

- Presentations
- Via personal network
- Articles

Туре	#US	#started	Туре	#UAS	#started
U	11	0	UAS	29	4

Two stage approach:

- 1. Implementation Universities of Applied Science
- 2. Implementation Universities



Status 2014 Implementation

Deliverables till now are:

- Curriculum
- Textbook for UAS(press 2015)
- Marketing material:
 - Flyer
 - Banner
- Few implementations running
- Presentations
- Publications



Conclusion

- Continuation of the inventory of current situation by hand of available education plans
- Maintenance of the curriculum scheduled early 2015
- Securing of the curriculum
- Implementation will proceed(main focus):
 - Presentations
 - Articles
 - Personal visits to U/UAS
 - Guest lectures
- First textbook will be published 2015

bartosz

Questions?





Jos van Rooyen

E-mail:

jos.van.rooyen@bartosz.nl

Mobile: +31-612716484

LinkedIn: Jos van Rooyen